

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -48)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 903 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk  
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio  
By: /s/Robert Pitts  
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

**SCHEDULE CTO-48 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
<b>CALIFORNIA NORTHERN</b>			
CAN	3	18-04535	County of San Mateo v. McKesson Corporation et al
<b>FLORIDA MIDDLE</b>			
FLM	8	18-01814	Polk County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
<b>GEORGIA NORTHERN</b>			
GAN	4	18-03508	<del>The City of Atlanta v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al</del> Opposed 8/10/18
<b>KENTUCKY EASTERN</b>			
KYE	2	18-00131	City of Covington, Kentucky v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
KYE	5	18-00471	Kentucky League of Cities Insurance Services v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
<b>LOUISIANA EASTERN</b>			
LAE	2	18-07070	St. John the Baptist Parish v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
<b>MICHIGAN WESTERN</b>			
MIW	1	18-00823	Eaton, County of v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
<b>MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN</b>			
MSS	3	18-00486	City of Meridian v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
<b>MISSOURI WESTERN</b>			
MOW	4	18-00571	Jackson County, Missouri v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
<b>OKLAHOMA EASTERN</b>			

OKE

6

18-00236

Cherokee Nation v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 8/10/18